

**EDUHEM 2018**  
**VIII International conference on intercultural education and**  
**International conference on transcultural health: THE**  
**VALUE OF EDUCATION AND HEALTH FOR A GLOBAL,**  
**TRANSCULTURAL WORLD**

**PILOT STUDY INTO SUSTAINED AND SELECTIVE ATTENTION**  
**USING THE BAPNE METHOD**

Inma Piqueres-Juan (a)\*, Silvia Sarmiento-Alienes (a), Elena Sánchez-González (a), Francisco Javier Romero-Naranjo (a)  
\*Corresponding author

(a) BAPNE Research group - Spain, University of Alicante, Carretera San Vicente del Raspeig s/n – 03690, Alicante, Spain \* Email: inmapiqueres2003@gmail.com; bapneresearch@gmail.com

***Abstract***

The aim of this research is to show the possible stimulation of sustained and selective attention by means of a quantitative study. The study was carried out using a research protocol which was quasi-experimental and designed to include pre-test, intervention and post-test. The sample consists of an experimental group plus a control group, n=57. The study was carried out in the province of Alicante in an area which exhibits medium to low sociocultural and socioeconomic levels. The evaluation tools used are the d2 test and the Caras-R test, both published by TEA. The intervention was delivered using a protocol of activities which are explained in the publication 'Programación didáctica'. The study was carried out with the experimental group over the course of six months, with sessions twice weekly on Tuesdays and Thursday. Each session lasted 50 minutes. The results show, following the post-test on the experimental group, that there are noticeable improvements in the cognitive aspects of sustained attention, selective attention, processing speed, and concentration. The control group pursued their normal routines and did not undergo any major change to their cognitive development

© 2019 Published by Future Academy [www.FutureAcademy.org.UK](http://www.FutureAcademy.org.UK)

**Keywords:** Body percussion, BAPNE, secondary education, selective attention, sustained attention.



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 Unported License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Music and impact it has upon cognition have been studied by several different authors (Altenmuller & Gruhn, 2002; Rauscher, 1999; Bilhartz, Bruhn & Olsan, 2000; Pascual-Leone, 2005; Cencec, Wilson & Prior, 2006) who have shown that music can lead to specific improvements in cognitive functions, including when it is used in children's coordination games (Sulkin, 2009; Brodsky & Sulkin, 2007). For this reason, the combination of movement alongside music practice and motor coordination is the subject of an increasing number of studies. These studies show improvement in executive functions (Cencec, Wilson & Prior, 2006; Gómez Pinilla & Hillman, 2013; Piek, et al., 2004; Brodsky & Sulkin, 2005; Cameron et al., 2012, Kubesch et al., 2009).

The present pilot study is part of a wide-ranging study involving a battery of tests and questionnaires designed to assess executive functions such as planning, concentration, sequencing, working memory, inhibition, impulse control, and attention, specifically sustained attention and selective attention (Sohlberg & Mateer, 1987). Two tests were applied – d2 and CARAS-R – which are both accredited in Spain and reviewed by TEA.

It is important to remember that this study has a fairly small sample size for an educational study, as there are fewer than 60 subjects in this pilot study. As a result, we will conduct subsequent studies and increase the number of subjects in both the control and experimental groups.

We followed the line of enquiry of previous studies which confirm that there is an improvement in executive functions brought about by movement (Erickson et al., 2011; Zatorre, Chen & Penhune, 2007).

In BAPNE, we have thus followed this line of research with our publications and specific programmes containing a series of activities which are constantly changing (Romero-Naranjo, 2011; Romero-Naranjo, 2017) consisting of short, sharp bursts which require high levels of attention and are fun. Participants enter a state of flux (Csikszentmihalyi, 2012) and avoid any suffering. It is also important to consider the ability of the performer to carry out the activities. There is a link between the level of difficulty of the activities and the level of stimuli and cognitive development reached by the end of the study.

The BAPNE Method fosters overall development (cognitive, socioemotional, psychomotor) and promotes appropriate psycho-evolutionary development (Carretero-Martínez, Romero-Naranjo, Pons-Terrés & Crespo-Colomino., 2014; Pons-Terrés et al., 2014).

Therefore, the goal of this pilot study is to study the possible influence and effectiveness of implementing a neuromotor programme involving the BAPNE Method which uses body percussion, music and movement as tools to develop the different types of attention.

## 2. Problem Statement

For this research we have consulted various academic search engines (Eric, Web of Science, Rilm, Scopus, JSTOR, Dialnet). Several authors talk about the therapeutic benefits of music and movement (Piek, et al., 2004; Hodges, 2000), and the importance of motor control and cognitive development (Sulkin, et al., 2007; Hyde et al., 2009; Catterall & Rauscher, 2008, Gromko & Poorman, 1998).

Although there are several written pieces about body percussion in the field of teaching, most of them are not justified and quantified. The BAPNE Method uses validated neuropsychological tests in order to prove the possible cognitive stimulation (Trives-Martínez et al., 2014; Díaz Pérez, 2016; Moral-Bofill, Romero-Naranjo, Albiar-Aliaga & Cid-Lamas, 2015; Romero-Naranjo, 2013).

### **3. Research Questions**

Do students who are in their first year of secondary school and who participate in a programme of cognitive stimulation using neuromotricity by means of the BAPNE Method improve their attention levels significantly compared to students who do not participate?

### **4. Purpose of the Study**

To investigate the effect of the BAPNE Method on attentional processes amongst subjects in a pilot group.

### **5. Research Methods**

#### **5.1. Participants and context**

This study was carried out in the province of Alicante, and the experimental group came from Virgen de las Nieves school in Aspe (Alicante) and the control group from the Leonardo da Vinci Secondary School in Alicante. The investigation was carried out with a sample of  $n=57$  participants. The experimental group ( $n=28$ ) consists of 13 boys and 15 girls. There was one Russian student. The control group ( $n=29$ ) consisted of 18 boys and 11 girls. There was one student from Algeria, and six students who were repeating a year of school. The socioeconomic and sociocultural characteristics of the individuals tested were low to average.

#### **5.2. Measurements**

In order to check if the BAPNE Method has a significant positive influence on sustained and selective attention, the d2 and CARAS-R tests were used. Both of these are quantitative and published by TEA.

#### **5.3. Process**

While the control group continued with their regular music lessons, the experimental group followed the activities published in the two manuals (Body Percussion - Programación Didáctica volumen 1 y 2), and the manuals on the foundation (Body Percussion - Método Bapne Volumen 1- 5).

#### **5.4. Design and data analysis**

The design of this investigation was quasi-experimental and inter-subject. When analysing both tools (d2 and CARAS-R), the design  $t$ -Student was used to compare mean averages for independent samples with a sample big enough to apply the central limit theorem.

Due to the quasi-experimental nature of this study, two groups which already existed in the two establishments in the province of Alicante were used. The design consists of an experimental group


(Virgen de las Nieves school in Aspe (Alicante)) where the BAPNE Method was used twice a week for 50 minutes at a time during their music lessons, and a control group (Leonardo da Vinci School, Alicante), which followed their normal musical education. The pre-test for both groups was conducted in December and the post-test was conducted in June. The program IBM SPSS 24 for Mac was used for the analysis of the results. This allowed us to calculate the difference between the experimental and control groups.

## 6. Findings

T-Student test was used for the analysis of the data. This allowed us to compare mean averages for independent samples. Statistical significance levels of 0.05 were used. The statistical software employed was IBM SPSS 24. The graphs and tables were made using Microsoft Excel for Mac. This was chosen for greater visual appeal.

Using the d2 test: in order to check which group had improved their score from the pre- to the post-test, a variable was created which measures the difference between the post and pre-test scores to see if the differences are greater or smaller between the experimental and the control groups.

Statistically significant differences were identified between the mean averages by subtracting the pre-test measurements the post-test measurements in the following variables ( $p < 0.001$ ): total responses (TR), total correct answers (TCA), total effectiveness of the test (TET), concentration index (CON) and variation (VAR) index ( $p = 0.024$ ). These differences were positive (190.011; 81.679; 193.45; 87.56 and 4.596 respectively), which indicates that the experimental group saw a larger change than the control group.



**Figure 01.** Difference between the post- and the pre-test.

**Table 01.** Group Statistics

	Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
<b>TR</b>	Experimental	28	229.57	74.333
	Control	25	39.56	59.217
<b>TA</b>	Experimental	28	104.68	40.472
	Control	25	23	27.58

<b>TOT</b>	Experimental	28	250.25	97.22
	Control	25	56.8	57.145
<b>CON</b>	Experimental	28	102	48.145
	Control	25	14.44	51.741
<b>VAR</b>	Experimental	28	-0.96	7.219
	Control	25	-5.56	7.095

**Table 02.** Independent Samples Test


Levene's Test for Equality of Variances				t-test for Equality of Means			
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig.	F
<b>TR</b>	Equal variances assumed	1.247	0.269	10.209	51	0	190.011
	Equal variances not assumed			10.341	50.386	0	190.011
<b>TA</b>	Equal variances assumed	2.631	0.111	8.481	51	0	81.679
	Equal variances not assumed			8.661	47.833	0	81.679
<b>TOT</b>	Equal variances assumed	2.248	0.14	8.693	51	0	193.45
	Equal variances not assumed			8.94	44.451	0	193.45
<b>CON</b>	Equal variances assumed	0.369	0.546	6.381	51	0	87.56
	Equal variances not assumed			6.354	49.274	0	87.56
<b>VAR</b>	Equal variances assumed	0.028	0.867	2.332	51	0.024	4.596
	Equal variances not assumed			2.335	50.511	0.024	4.596

Using the CARAS-R test: we did not find statistically significant differences between the two groups when we carried out the pre-test. However, there are differences which are statistically significant when conducting the post-test in the quantity of correct answers ( $p=0.001$ ) and net correct answers ( $p=0.001$ ), showing a difference in mean averages of 6.775 and 7.585 respectively. The difference between the post- and pre-tests was measured, and statistically significant differences were found in the same variables ( $p=0.003$  y  $p=0.004$  respectively).

**Table 03.** Independent Samples Test

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances				t-test for Equality of Means			
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2 tailed)	Mean difference
<b>POST CARAS A</b>	Equal variances assumed	0.036	0.85	3.376	52	0.001	6.775
	Equal variances not assumed			3.389	51.974	0.001	6.775

<b>POST CARAS A -E</b>	Equal variances assumed	0.013	0.91	3.414	52	0.001	7.585
	Equal variances not assumed			3.407	51.11	0.001	7.585
<b>CARAS A</b>	Equal variances assumed	1.008	0.32	3.164	52	0.003	5.629
	Equal variances not assumed			3.186	51.329	0.002	5.629
<b>CARAS A-E</b>	Equal variances assumed	0.091	0.764	2.998	52	0.004	6.121
	Equal variances not assumed			2.992	51.111	0.004	6.121



**Figure 02.** Difference between the post- and the pre-tests

**Table 04.** Group statistics

	<b>Group</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
<b>POST CARAS A</b>	Experimental	28	49.43	7.705
	Control	26	42.65	6.985
<b>POST CARAS A - E</b>	Experimental	28	47.89	7.932
	Control	26	40.31	8.394
<b>CARAS A</b>	Experimental	28	10.32	7.097
	Control	26	4.69	5.864
<b>CARAS A-E</b>	Experimental	28	9.43	7.29
	Control	26	3.31	7.714

## 7. Conclusion

With this study, and looking at the results obtained, we can state that the information obtained from the d2 and CARAS-R test in terms of the development of attentional processes is satisfactory. Furthermore, we can confirm the viability of the implementation of the neuromotor programme using the BAPNE Method for the possible stimulation of the executive functions in the group under study.

Attention is the capacity to select those stimuli which are most relevant, and it is important to remember that attention is a vital cognitive process to carry out all learning processes (Ríos-Lago, Perriñez & Rodríguez-Sánchez, 2011). Many studies stress the importance of physical exercise to improve attention (Zatorre, 2003; Peretz & Zatorre, 2005; Sibley & Etnier, 2003). This is also borne out by our study.

After the neuromotor programme, the attentional aspects were analysed when the BAPNE Method was used in secondary school students. There were statistically significant improvements obtained in sustained and selective attention, which thus confirm our initial hypothesis. Having analysed the data obtained, it can be confirmed that there are differences between the two groups (control and experimental) between the pre- and the post-test in all the variables; there was no homogeneity between the two groups. However, whilst all the students improved, the experimental group improved more significantly.

It is important to stress that in future investigations it will be crucial to look for a control group similar to the experimental group so that the sample in the pre-test can be homogeneous and thus avoid extraneous variables. The duration of this programme was 20 weeks, but it would be useful in future studies to plan for 12 months. This would allow the data to be more significant and reliable.

In conclusion, this pilot study with a sample of 57 participants (28 in the experimental group and 29 in the control) lays the path for future studies to corroborate the improvement in the different attentional variables. It also proves the benefits that the BAPNE Method can bring about in terms of the development of the executive functions.

## Acknowledgments

Thanks to Ruth Gasparini.

## References

- Altenmuller, E., & Gruhn, W. (2002). Brain mechanisms. In R. Parncutt & G. E. McPherson (Eds.), *The science and psychology of music performance - Creative strategies for teaching and learning* (pp. 63–82). New York: Oxford University Press
- Arjona, M. L. (2011). Experiencia musical: musicoterapia. *Enclave Docente*, 1, 63- 66.
- Brickenkamp, R. (2012). *Manual d2, test de atención*. Madrid: Ediciones TEA.
- Bilhartz, T. D., Bruhn, R.A., & Olsan, J.E. (2000). The effect of early music training on child cognitive development. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 20, 615–636.
- Brodsky, W., & Sulkin, I. (2005, September 14–17). Clapping songs: A natural ecological medium for pre-instrumental training. In J. W. Davidson (Ed.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Psychological, Philosophical and Educational Issues in Musical Performance* [CD]. Porto, Portugal: CIPEM.
- Cameron, C. E., Brock, L. L., Murrah, W. M., Bell, L. H., Worzalla, S. L., Grissmer, D., & Morrison, F. J. (2012). Fine motor skills and executive function both contribute to kindergarten achievement. *Child Development*, 83(4), 1229-1244.
- Carretero-Martínez, A., Romero-Naranjo, F. J., Pons-Terrés, J., & Crespo-Colomino, N. (2014). Cognitive, Visual-spatial and Psychomotor Development in Students of Primary Education through the Body Percussion - BAPNE Method. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 152, 1282-1287.

- Catterall, J. S., & Rauscher, F.H. (2008). Unpacking the impact of music on intelligence. In W. Gruhn & F. Rauscher (Eds.). *Neurosciences in music pedagogy* (pp. 171–202). New York: Nova Science Publishers.
- Cencec, R., Wilson, S., & Prior, M. (2006). The cognitive effect and academic benefits of music to children: facts and fiction. *Educational Psychology*, 26, 579–594.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2012). *Fluir. Una psicología de la felicidad*. Barcelona: Editorial Kairós.
- Díaz Pérez, A. (2016). *Trastorno del desarrollo de la coordinación. Programa de intervención a través de la música, la danza y la percusión corporal (Método BAPNE)*. Universidad de Murcia, Murcia.
- Erickson, K. I., Voss, M. W., Prakash, R. S., Basak, C., Szabo, A., Chaddock, L., & Kramer, A. F. (2011). Exercise training increases size of hippocampus and improves memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(7), 3017-3022. doi:10.1073/pnas.1015950108 [doi]
- Gómez-Pinilla F., & Hillman C. (2013). The influence of exercise on cognitive abilities. *Comprehensive Physiology*, 3, 403-428.
- Gromko, E. J., & Poorman, S. A. (1998). The effect of music training on preschoolers' spatial-temporal task performance. *Journal of Music Education*, 46, 173–181.
- Hars, M., Herrmann, F. R., Gold, G., Rizzoli, R., & Trombetti, A. (2014). Effect of music based multitask training on cognition and mood in older adults. *Age Ageing*, 43(2), 196-200.
- Hodges, D. A. (2000). Implications of music and brain research. *Music Educators Journal*, 87(2), 17-22.
- Hyde, K. L., Lerch, J., Norton, A., Forgeard, M., Winner, E., Evens, A.C., & Schlaug, G. (2009). Musical training shapes structural brain development. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29, 3019–3025.
- Kubesch, S., Walk, L., Spitzer, M., Kammer, T., Lainburg, A., Heim, R., & Hille, K. (2009). A 30-minute physical education program improves students' executive attention. *Mind, Brain, and Education*, 3(4), 235-242.
- Moral-Bofill, L., Romero Naranjo, F. J., Albiar-Aliaga, E., & Cid-Lamas, J. A. (2015). The BAPNE Method as a school intervention and support strategy to improve the school environment and contribute to socioemotional learning (SEL). *International Journal of Innovation and Research in Educational Sciences*, 2(6), 2349–5219.
- Pascual-Leone, A. (2005). The brain that makes music and is changed by it. In I. Peretz & R. Zatorre (Eds.). *The cognitive neuroscience of music* (pp. 396–409). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Peretz, I., & Zattore, R.J. (2005). Brain organization for music processing. *Annual Review Psychology*, 56, 89–114.
- Piek, J. P., Dyck, M. J., Nieman, A., Anderson, M., Hay, D., Smith, L. M., & Hallmayer, J. (2004). The relationship between motor coordination, executive functioning and attention in school aged children. *Archives of clinical Neuropsychology*, 19(8), 1063-1076.
- Pons-Terrés, J. M., Romero-Naranjo, A. A., Romero-Naranjo, F. J., Crespo-Colomino, N., & Liendo-Cárdenas, A. (2014). Estimulación de la atención dividida: Didáctica de la Percusión Corporal - Método BAPNE. *XII Jornadas de Redes de Investigación en Docencia Universitaria*. Universidad de Alicante.
- Rauscher, F. H. (1999). Music exposure and development of spatial intelligence in children. *Bulletin of the Council for Research in Music Education*, 142, 35–47.
- Ríos-Lago, M., Periañez, J. A., & Rodríguez-Sánchez, J. M. (2011). Neuropsicología de la Atención. In J. Tirapu-Ustárroz, M. Ríos-Lago, F. Maestú-Unturbe. (Eds.), *Manual de Neuropsicología* (pp. 151-181). Barcelona, España: Viguera Editores, S. L.
- Romero-Naranjo, F. J. (2011). *BAPNE: Body percussion, Theoretical practical foundation. Vol 1-5*. Barcelona: Body music - Body percussion Press.
- Romero Naranjo, F. J. (2013). Science & art of body percussion: a review. *Journal of human sport and exercise*, 8(2), 442-457. Retrieved from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10045/29740>
- Romero-Naranjo, F. J. (2017). *Bodypercussion - Programación didáctica. Volumen 1-2*. Barcelona: Body music – Body percussion Press.
- Sibley B., & Etnier J. (2003): The relationship between physical activity and cognition in children: a meta-analysis. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 15, 243-256.
- Sulkin, I., & Brodsky, W. (2007, August 6–10). The effects of hand-clapping songs training on temporal-motor skills among elementary school children. In K. Overy (Ed.), *Proceedings of the Summer*

- Workshop on Music, Language, and Movement*, 2007. Edinburgh: Institute for Music in Human and Social Development, University of Edinburgh.
- Sulkin, I. (2009). *The influence of hand clapping songs on motor and cognitive task performance* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Beer-Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev.
- Sohlberg M. M., & Mateer C. A. (1987). Effectiveness of an attention-training program. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*, 9, 117-30
- Thurstone, L. L., Yela, M., & Luque, T. (2012). *Caras-R: test de percepción de diferencias-revisado: manual*. Madrid: Ediciones Tea.
- Trives Martínez, E. A., Romero Naranjo, F. J., Pons Terrés, J. M., Romero Naranjo, A. A., Crespo Colomino, N., Liendo Cárdenas, A., & Tripovic, Y. (2014). Los métodos didácticos musicales y la atención en relación al movimiento. In *XII Jornadas de Redes de Investigación en Docencia Universitaria*. Retrieved from: <http://hdl.handle.net/10045/41915>.
- Zatorre, R. J. (1998). Functional specialization of human auditory cortex for musical processing. *Brain*, 121, 1817–1818.
- Zatorre, R. J. (2003). Music and the brain. In G. Avanzini, C. Faienza, D. Minciacchi, L. Lopez, & M. Majno (Eds.), *The neurosciences and music* (pp. 4–14). New York: The New York Academics of Sciences.
- Zatorre, R.J., Chen, J. L., & Penhune, V. B. (2007). When the brain plays music: Auditory motor interactions in music perception and production. *Nature Review Neuroscience*, 8, 547–558.